- (1) Substantial progress on the effects of climate, fire, and top down permafrost thaw in uplands on vegetation dynamics and biogeochemistry. Still work to do (such as insects and pathogens).
- (2) Begun modeling research on the effects of thermokarst on wetland vegetation dynamics and biogeochemistry.
- (3) Need to begin modeling research on biogeochemical linkages of uplands and wetlands to inland surface waters (lakes and streams) and biogeochemistry of inland surface waters.

- (1) Substantial progress on the effects of climate, fire, and top down permafrost thaw in uplands on vegetation dynamics and biogeochemistry. Still work to do.
 - Finish two-way coupling of ALFRESCO with DVM-DOS-TEM and GIPL
 - Additional research on modeling successional trajectories
 - Begin research on modeling the dynamics and effects of insect and pathogen disturbances

Finish two-way coupling of ALFRESCO with DVM-DOS-TEM and GIPL





Additional Research on Modeling Successional Trajectories.



(Johnstone et al. 2010)





The drivers of post-fire recruitment composition

Johnstone et al. 2010



Fig. 1 Map of the study sites in interior Alaska (modified from Johnstone et al., 2009). Solid gray polygons indicate areas that were burned in 2004. Study sites (n = 90) are shown as filled black squares in fires that intersected the Dalton, Steese, and Taylor highways. Because of the small scale of the map, symbols overlap for some sites.



Relative dominance of spruce in post-fire recruitment is related to fire severity, pre-fire stand age and drainage conditions.









Build Successional Dynamics into DVM – DOS – TEM



Scenarios Networl

FLORID



- (1) Substantial progress on the effects of climate, fire, and top down permafrost thaw in uplands on vegetation dynamics and biogeochemistry. Still work to do.
 - Finish two-way coupling of ALFRESCO with DVM-DOS-TEM and GIPL
 - Additional research on modeling successional trajectories
 - Begin research on modeling the dynamics and effects of insect and pathogen disturbances

- (2) Begun modeling research on the effects of thermokarst on wetland vegetation dynamics and biogeochemistry.
 - Development of Alaska Thermokarst Model (ATM)
 - Development of Peatland DOS-TEM/DVM-DOS-TEM
 - Coupling of ATM with Peatland DVM-DOS-TEM

Quantifying the Area Susceptible to Thermokarst Function of ice content, landscape position (lowlands), presence of peat (histels), and presence of permafrost (from Helene Genet)



Development of the Alaska Thermokarst Model



Conceptual Model of Landscape Change Associated with Thermokarst Disturbance



Thermokarst-Prone Landscape Change Questions

- What is the current distribution of land cover types in thermokarst-prone landscapes?
- What are the transition rates among land cover types during the satellite era?
- What are the controls over transition rates (e.g., climate, permafrost, hydrology)?
- How is the distribution of land cover types projected to change in the future?

Biogeochemistry Change Questions in Thermokarst-Prone Landscapes

- How do land cover transitions in thermokarst-prone landscapes (and associated changes in permafrost and hydrology) influence carbon storage and fluxes in land cover types?
- How do land cover transitions (and associated changes in permafrost and hydrology) influence the loading of carbon into lake and stream networks ?
- How will climate change (and associated changes in land cover transitions, permafrost, and hydrology) influence carbon dynamics in lakes, stream networks, and wetland complexes?

PERM Region 05B: Discontinuous Boreal Permafrost



Bookkeeping Model: Estimates of Carbon Dynamics of each Land Cover Type (Jen Harden, Jon O'Donnell, Others)

Collapse	Delta Shallow SOC	Delta Deep SOC	Delta VEGC
Bogs (CB)	(g C m-2 yr-1)	(g C m-2 yr-1)	(g C m-2 yr-1)
0-50	128	-1085	0
51-100	108	-488	0
100-500	56	-50	0
>500	13	0	0
Permafrost Plateau			
Forests (PPF)	38	14	0
Treed Bogs			
(TB)	13	0	0
	Net CH4 Emissions (g C m-2 yr-1)	NEE (+ to atmosphere) (g C m-2 yr-1)	DOC Flux (g C m-2 yr-1)
Collapse Fens (CF)	6	-8	2.5
Thermokarst Lakes (TL)	4.6	15	2.5

Bookkeeping Model: Transition Rates (Proportion Per Year)

From/To	Permafrost	Thermokarst			
(Proportion)	Plateau Forest	Lake	Collapse Fen	Collapse Bog	Treed Bog
Permafrost					
Plateau Forest					
(PPF)	NAN	0.0007619	0.0042418	0.0001359	NAN
Thermokarst Lake					
(TL)	NAN	NAN	0.0012821	NAN	NAN
Collapse Fen (CF)	NAN	NAN	NAN	0.0002	NAN
Collapse Bog (CB)	NAN	NAN	NAN	NAN	0.0001
Treed Bog (TB)	0	NAN	NAN	NAN	NAN

Bookkeeping Model: Dynamics of Thermokarst Land Cover Types 1950-2300 Using Contemporary Transition Rates



Bookkeeping Model: Consequences of Using Contemporary Transition Rates for Cumulative Changes in Carbon Storage



Development of Peatland DOS-TEM/ DVM-DOS-TEM has relied on data from The Alaska Peatland Experiment (APEX)

Raised WT

Lowered WT

Control

Peatland-DOS-TEM Model (Fan et al. 2013)



Peatland Model (Fan et al. 2013)





- (2) Begun modeling research on the effects of **thermokarst** on wetland vegetation dynamics and biogeochemistry.
 - Development of Alaska Thermokarst Model (ATM)
 - Development of Peatland DOS-TEM/DVM-DOS-TEM

Zhaosheng Fan (now DOE-ANL): Fen

Yanjiao Mi: Collapse Scar Bog, Permafrost Plateau, and biogeochemical consequences of thermokast disturbance based on data from chronosequence studies.

Coupling of ATM with Peatland DVM-DOS-TEM

This is an activity of the IEM team (science question: How does coupling (internal feedbacks of the system) influence wetland dynamics and biogeochemistry?)

(3) Need to begin modeling research on biogeochemical linkages of uplands and wetlands to inland surface waters (lakes and streams) and biogeochemistry of inland surface waters.

- Mack et al. DOE proposal in review
- TEM6 models DOC and DON loading

Addressing Uncertainties in BNZ Research

