### Climate Feedback Research: Consequences of climate and disturbance changes for the Carbon feedback in Interior Alaska

Genet H., Zhang Y., McGuire A.D., He Y., Johnson K., D'Amore D., Zhou X., Bennett A., Biles F., Bliss N., Breen A., Euskirchen E.S., Kurkowski T., Pastick N., Rupp S., Wylie B., Zhu Z., Zhuang Q.





(modified from Hayes et al. 2014)

![](_page_2_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### (modified from Hayes et al. 2014)

### Modeling framework

![](_page_3_Figure_1.jpeg)

Soil Clay Content (%)

![](_page_4_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Environmental Drivers**

![](_page_4_Figure_3.jpeg)

Soil Sand Content (%)

![](_page_4_Figure_5.jpeg)

Soil Silt Content (%)

![](_page_4_Figure_8.jpeg)

# **Climate Drivers**

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

Mean Annual Temperature (MAT) and Annual Sum of Precipitation (ASP) from 1950 to 2100 summarized for the simulation extent. The black line represents the CRU data for the historical period and the colored lines represent the CCCMA (solid) and ECHAM5 (dotted) projections for the 3 emission scenarios.

![](_page_5_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Disturbance regimes : Fire

![](_page_6_Picture_1.jpeg)

Simulated fire scars for the historical period 1950-2009. Individual fire scar colors indicate age of burn from oldest (red) to youngest (green).

![](_page_6_Figure_3.jpeg)

Cumulated area burned for the historical period (black line) are estimated from the Alaskan Large Fire Databases. Projections from 2009 to 2100 are simulated by ALFRESCO for the 6 climate scenarios.

# **Evaluate TEM performances**

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

TEM soil C stocks compared with soil C stocks based on 315 samples collected in Alaska (Johnson et al. 2011). Both simulated and observed soil C stock estimates are for the organic and 0-1m mineral horizons. Evaluation of TEM for vegetation biomass using data from 190 permanent study plots of the Cooperative Alaska Forest Inventory (CAFI) for boreal forest communities and LTER data for the arctic tundra communities.

# **Evaluate TEM performances**

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

TEM soil C stocks compared with soil C stocks based on 315 samples collected in Alaska (Johnson et al. 2011). Both simulated and observed soil C stock estimates are for the organic and 0-1m mineral horizons. Evaluation of TEM for vegetation biomass using data from 190 permanent study plots of the Cooperative Alaska Forest Inventory (CAFI) for boreal forest communities and LTER data for the arctic tundra communities.

### Historical change in Net Ecosystem C balance [1950-2009]

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

-7.3 TgC/yr were lost on average

between 1950 and 2009 in

Interior Alaska.

Unit = *TgC*/yr

![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

A1B

A2

#### Annual Change in NECB [2010-2099]

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

**B1** 

A1B

A2

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

**B1** 

A1B

A2

### Conclusion

- Interior Alaska lost 365 TgC from soil respiration and wildfire burn from 1950 to 2010 that represents 3.4% of the total C stocks in the region in 1950 (10.6 PgC).
- In addition, 30 Tg CH<sub>4</sub> were emitted, mainly in wetlands. CH<sub>4</sub> warming potential being 21 times higher than CO<sub>2</sub>, the total C loss in CO<sub>2</sub>eq. was 1.4 PgC, i.e. 13% of the C stocks in 1950.
- Upland ecosystems in interior Alaska are C sinks over the 21<sup>st</sup> Century: the increase of productivity with projected climate warming offset increased carbon loss from soil respiration and wildfire emission for all climate scenarios.
- However, this sinks become strong sources when taken into consideration methane emissions from the wetlands. By 2100, Interior Alaska is projected to gain between 0.43 and 1.01 Pg C in uplands and loose between 0.07 and 0.17 PgC of CH<sub>4</sub> in wetlands. In CO<sub>2</sub>eq., the total loss would range from 0.89 to 2.6 PgC by 2100.

### To what extent post-fire succession will offset C loss

Global Change Biology (2010) 16, 1281-1295, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02051.x

#### Changes in fire regime break the legacy lock on successional trajectories in Alaskan boreal forest

#### JILL F. JOHNSTONE\*†, TERESA N. HOLLINGSWORTH‡, F. STUART CHAPIN, III† and MICHELLE C. MACK§

\*Department of Biology, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK, Canada S7J 0B1, †Institute of Arctic Biology, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, AK 99775, USA, ‡USDA Forest Service, PNW Research Station, Boreal Ecology Cooperative Research Unit, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, AK 99775, USA, MORTO, USA, Department of Botany, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

Fig. 1 Map of the study sites in interior Alaska (modified from Johnstone *et al.*, 2009). Solid gray polygons indicate areas that were burned in 2004. Study sites (n = 90) are shown as filled black squares in fires that intersected the Dalton, Steese, and Taylor highways. Because of the small scale of the map, symbols overlap for some sites.

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

Relative influence and partial dependency plots for variables in a boosted regression tree predicting relative

spruce dominance

#### Post-fire succession model in development

Drainage

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

Climate Feedback Research: Consequences of climate and disturbance changes for the Carbon feedback in Interior Alaska

### Thank you

Genet H., Zhang Y., McGuire A.D., He Y., Johnson K., D'Amore D., Zhou X., Bennett A., Biles F., Bliss N., Breen A., Euskirchen E.S., Kurkowski T., Pastick N., Rupp S., Wylie B., Zhu Z., Zhuang Q.

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)