Thawing permafrost increases old soil and autotrophic respiration in tundra: Partitioning ecosystem respiration using δ^{13}C and Δ^{14}C
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Abstract

Ecosystem respiration (R_{eco}) is one of the largest terrestrial carbon (C) fluxes. The effect of climate change on R_{eco} depends on the responses of its autotrophic and heterotrophic components. How autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration sources respond to climate change is especially important in ecosystems underlain by permafrost. Permafrost ecosystems contain vast stores of soil C (1672 Pg) and are located in northern latitudes where climate change is accelerated. Warming will cause a positive feedback to climate change if heterotrophic respiration increases without corresponding increases in primary production. We quantified the response of autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration to permafrost thaw across the 2008 and 2009 growing seasons. We partitioned R_{eco}, using Δ^{14}C and δ^{13}C into four sources—two autotrophic (above- and belowground plant structures) and two heterotrophic (young and old soil). We sampled the Δ^{14}C and δ^{13}C of sources using incubations and the Δ^{14}C and δ^{13}C of R_{eco} using field measurements. We then used a Bayesian mixing model to solve for the most likely contributions of each source to R_{eco}. Autotrophic respiration ranged from 40 to 70% of R_{eco} and was greatest at the height of the growing season. Old soil heterotrophic respiration ranged from 6 to 18% of R_{eco} and was greatest where permafrost thaw was deepest. Overall, growing season fluxes of autotrophic and old soil heterotrophic respiration increased as permafrost thaw deepened. Areas with greater thaw also had the greatest primary production. Warming in permafrost ecosystems therefore leads to increased plant and old soil respiration that is initially compensated by increased net primary productivity. However, barring large shifts in plant community composition, future increases in old soil respiration will likely outpace productivity, resulting in a positive feedback to climate change.
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Introduction

Ecosystem respiration (R_{eco}) is the largest carbon (C) flux from the terrestrial biosphere to the atmosphere (Raich & Schlesinger, 1992). Thus, understanding the response of R_{eco} to climatic changes is critically important to making predictions about the C cycle on local, regional, and global scales. However, measured responses of R_{eco} to temperature increases have been highly variable (Davidson & Janssens, 2006) because R_{eco} is a combination of respiration by autotrophs and heterotrophs, which often respond differently to changes in climate (Borken et al., 2006; Muhr & Borken, 2009; Gomez-Casanovas et al., 2012). The relative responses of autotrophic (R_{a}) and heterotrophic respiration (R_{h}) to climatic changes affect the C balance of ecosystems: on short timescales, R_{a} is generally balanced by current production but R_{h} does not have to be. Their relative responses are particularly important in permafrost ecosystems, which have historically been C sinks (Hicks Pries et al., 2012) and have the potential to cause a large positive feedback to climate change as they thaw (Schuur et al., 2008).

Soils in the permafrost zone store 1672 Pg C, over twice as much C as the atmosphere currently holds (Schuur et al., 2008), because frozen soil has protected organic C from decomposition. These permafrost soils are found mainly in high latitudes where up to 7 °C temperature increases are predicted over the next century (IPCC, 2007). In Alaska, some permafrost is already thawing downward at a rate of 0.1–0.9 m yr^{-1} (Osterkamp & Romanovsky, 1999; Osterkamp, 2007). As permafrost thaws, soil organic C is exposed to microbial degradation, increasing R_{h} (Goulden et al., 1998; Schuur et al., 2009). However, warmer, thawed soils can also increase biomass C storage and R_{a} by increasing nutrient availability and causing plant communities to shift to larger growth forms (e.g. shrubs; Schuur et al., 2007).

With CO_{2} flux measurements, researchers have shown permafrost thaw increases R_{eco} in tundra (Vogel...
et al., 2009) and peatlands (Dorrepaal et al., 2009). However, measuring fluxes alone does not reveal whether the increase is being driven by $R_a$ or $R_h$. Increases in $R_{eco}$ as a result of thaw may indicate different outcomes of an ecosystem’s C cycle depending on which respiration source drives the change. For example, if $R_a$ of old soil C is driving the increase, the system is losing C that had been stored for hundreds to thousands of years to the atmosphere, likely resulting in a positive feedback to climate change. If $R_h$ is driving the increase, the system is either turning over newly photosynthesized C faster, a neutral or constrained positive feedback to climate change, or is fixing more C, a negative feedback to climate change. To help predict the strength of the permafrost thaw feedback, autotrophic and heterotrophic contributions to $R_{eco}$ must be known.

Partitioning $R_{eco}$ into its sources is necessary for a mechanistic understanding of how respiration responds to climate change, and many partitioning methods have been developed. Natural abundance $\delta^{13}C$ or $\Delta^{14}C$ is used for partitioning $R_{eco}$ when their values differ among respiration sources. Isotope partitioning is less destructive than methods like trenching or girdling, which can change environmental conditions (e.g. Luan et al., 2011; Subke et al., 2011), and may cause less sampling artifacts than methods like excising roots from soil to measure fluxes separately (Kuzyakov, 2006; Yi et al., 2007). Many studies have used either $\delta^{13}C$ or $\Delta^{14}C$ to estimate source contributions to soil or ecosystem respiration (Ehleringer et al., 2000; Gaudinski et al., 2000; Trumbore, 2000; Ngao et al., 2005; Schuur et al., 2009). In permafrost ecosystems, deep soil contributions to $R_{eco}$ have been estimated using $\delta^{13}C$ (Dorrepaal et al., 2009) and $\Delta^{14}C$ (Schuur et al., 2009). However, source contributions can be determined with increased accuracy using both carbon isotopes simultaneously (Phillips & Gregg, 2003).

Combining C isotopes is powerful because $\delta^{13}C$ and $\Delta^{14}C$ separate sources based on different principles. $^{13}C$ via biological fractionation and water relations (Bowering et al., 2002) and $^{14}C$ via age (Trumbore, 2000). $\delta^{13}C$ differs among sources because many enzymatic processes, like C fixation by Rubisco, discriminate against the heavy isotope. $\delta^{13}C$ also varies among autotrophs due to different photosynthetic strategies, water relations, and CO2 concentrations because the less C limited plants are, the more they discriminate against $^{13}C$ (Dawson et al., 2002). $\Delta^{14}C$ acts as a timestamp once isotopic fractionation effects have been corrected for because $^{14}C$ undergoes radioactive decay. Further separating sources based on age is the 1963 $^{14}C$ bomb peak, caused by atmospheric nuclear weapons testing, which causes C fixed in the past 50 years to have enriched $\Delta^{14}C$ (Levin & Hesshaimer, 2000). Despite the potential of dual isotope partitioning, both C isotopes have rarely been used together in natural abundance studies (Mayorga et al., 2005; Billett et al., 2007; Hardie et al., 2009).

The main objective of this study was to quantify the response of plant and microbial respiration to permafrost thaw and seasonality by utilizing $\delta^{13}C$ and $\Delta^{14}C$ to partition $R_{eco}$ into four sources: aboveground plant structures (AG), belowground plant structures (BG), young soil (YS; 0–15 cm), and old soil (OS; 15–80 cm). Specific objectives were to: (1) Determine spatial and temporal variability in source and $R_{eco}$ $\delta^{13}C$ and $\Delta^{14}C$, (2) Determine whether permafrost thaw increases the contribution of $R_h$ from old soil C, (3) Determine how the contributions of $R_a$ and its components, AG and BG plant respiration, change seasonally (May through September) and with thaw. This mechanistic approach to understanding how the components of $R_{eco}$ respond to seasonality and permafrost thaw will increase our understanding of permafrost ecosystems’ responses to climate change. The resulting $R_{eco}$ partitioning estimates can be used to parameterize C cycling models, increasing our capacity to predict the future state of the Earth system.

Materials and methods

Site description

Our site is tundra located near Eight Mile Lake (EML; 63°52′ 42″ N, 149°15′12″ W) in Healy, Alaska. The vegetation is moist acidic tussock tundra underlain by soils that have permafrost within a meter of the surface (Gelisols). The soils consist of about 0.5 m of organic soil on top of mineral soil that is a mixture of loess deposits and glacial till. The water table is usually 15–25 cm below the soil surface (Trucco et al., 2012), and so methane production out of the ecosystem is negligible (E.A.G. Schuur and C. Trucco, unpublished data). Permafrost temperatures in this region are around –1 °C, and therefore the permafrost is susceptible to thaw (Osterkamp & Romanovsky, 1999). Within the study site, some areas have undergone active layer thickening and thermokarst formation due to permafrost thaw (Vogel et al., 2009). Thaw has been documented for the past two decades at this site but likely began earlier (Osterkamp et al., 2009). This site has had ongoing monitoring of soil temperature, active layer depth, water table depth, and CO2 fluxes since 2004 (Schuur et al., 2009; Vogel et al., 2009; Trucco et al., 2012).

Ecosystem respiration

To measure the $\delta^{13}C$ and $\Delta^{14}C$ of $R_{eco}$, we installed 12 permanent PVC collars (25.4 cm diameter × 10 cm deep) 8 cm into the soil across 1 km of the study site. For sampling $R_{eco}$ 10 L dark chambers (13 cm high) were fit onto the collars over the soil and encompassing the aboveground plant biomass. Ecosystem respiration $\delta^{13}C$ and $\Delta^{14}C$ was sampled over a week in June, July, and August 2008 and May, July, and
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September 2009. Sampling occurred from 06.00 to 11.00 hours to limit diurnal variation and ensure calm conditions. After each set of Reco samples was taken, thaw depth was measured twice adjacent to and once within each collar using a metal probe (2 mm in diameter) pushed into the ground until it hit resistance. Active layer depth (AL), the thaw depth at the end of the growing season and a measure of the permafrost thaw extent, was measured in September and used to stratify collars into three categories for the partitioning model.

We used Keeling plots to measure the Δ13C of Reco wherein we took air samples from the chamber every 2–3 min while pCO2 increased for a total of seven samples (Keeling, 1958). The air samples were collected into exetainers (septa-topped vials; Labco Limited, Lampeter, UK) in line with the chamber, a pump, and an infrared gas analyzer (IRGA; LI-820, LI-COR, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA). We recorded the pCO2 from the IRGA when each exetainer was removed from the line. The exetainers were sent back to the University of Florida to be run using a GasBench II coupled with a Finnigan Delta Plus XL stable isotope mass spectrometer (precision ± 0.2‰, n = 215). Their holding time was no longer than 10 days, and the majority of the samples were run within 7 days (Mortazavi & Chanton, 2004; Midwood et al., 2006). Standard samples of similar pCO2 and a Δ13C of –10.46‰ (Oztech Trading Corporation, Safford, AZ, USA) were sent with each batch of exetainers to correct for changes in Δ13C due to travel and storage. The Δ13C and 1/pCO2 of each collar’s air samples were fit with a linear regression to find the intercept, which was the Δ13C of Reco.

For measuring Δ14C, we first removed as much atmospheric CO2 from the chamber as possible by pumping chamber air through soda lime for 45 min while maintaining ambient pCO2 (Schuur & Trumbore, 2006). After scrubbing, we pumped chamber air through a zeolite molecular sieve (Alltech 13X; Alltech Associates, Deerfield, IL, USA) trap that quantitatively adsorbs CO2 for 15 min (Hardie et al., 2005). By maintaining pCO2 around ambient levels, we removed CO2 from the chamber at roughly the same rate it was fluxing out of the ecosystem and avoided an unnatural CO2 concentration gradient. Sampling only occurred under calm wind conditions to minimize atmospheric CO2 entering chambers through the soil. The molecular sieve traps were baked at 625 °C to desorb CO2 (Bauer et al., 1992), which was purified using liquid N2 on a vacuum line and reduced to graphite by Fe reduction in H2 (Vogel et al., 1987). The graphite was sent to the UC Irvine W.M. Keck carbon cycle accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) Laboratory for Δ14C analysis (precision ± 2.3‰, n = 102). Δ14C data are reported at the same Δ13C value to correct for mass-dependent fractionation effects. Δ14C data were corrected for the atmospheric CO2 remaining in the chambers using Δ13C data from each chamber in a 2-pool (atmospheric and Reco) mixing model as in Schuur & Trumbore (2006).

**Autotrophic respiration**

Short-term incubations were used to measure the Δ13C and Δ14C of AG and BG Rn. Autotrophic respiration Δ13C was measured from nine randomly chosen sites in June and August 2008 and in May, July, and August 2009. Aboveground and BG Rn Δ14C was measured in July 2008 and in May and July 2009 from three sites. To measure the Δ13C and Δ14C of Rn, we collected plants from a randomly placed 20 cm² quadrat; we clipped all the aboveground material (including lichens and mosses) to the soil surface, and collected all live roots and rhizomes (~2 mm in diameter) from the thawed soil. Aboveground samples were immediately placed into foil-covered mason jars (0.24 L) whereas belowground samples were rinsed twice in water to remove soil particles and shaken dry before being put into foil-covered mason jars. We incubated plants as soon as possible after clipping (within 5 min for AG and 30 min for BG), as the Δ13C of excised root respiration can change slightly after 40 min (Midwood et al., 2006). Air from the sealed mason jars was then pumped through soda lime for 5 min at 1 L min⁻¹ to remove CO2 from the headspace before starting a 5–10 min or 4 h incubation (until pCO2 reached the range needed for Δ13C and Δ14C analyses, respectively). At the end of the incubation, headspace air was pumped into a Helium-flushed exetainer for Δ13C analysis or a molecular sieve trap for Δ14C analysis.

**Heterotrophic respiration**

To measure the Δ13C and Δ14C of Rn, nine surface soil cores (0–25 cm) were randomly sampled in May, July, and August 2009, and 12 deep soil cores (25+ cm) were sampled in May 2009. We sampled surface soil more often to test if respired Δ13C and Δ14C change throughout the growing season due to rhizosphere processes. We manually cored surface soils using a serrated knife to the depth of thaw or 25 cm, whichever came first, and sectioned them into 0–5 cm, 5–15 cm, and 15–25 cm depths. For deeper soils (25+ cm), we used a Tanaka TIA-340 permafrost drill with carbide bits to drill through frozen soil down to about 80 cm, below which gravel impeded coring. Surface soil incubations were started the day of sampling, whereas deep soils were kept frozen until February 2010 when they were thawed, cut into 10 cm sections, and incubated. Roots (>1 mm in diameter) were removed from all soil sections before soils were put into mason jars (0.95 L) for incubations. Care was taken to minimize disturbance to the soil structure, which was a minor problem for mineral soils as they had very few roots. Surface soils sat at room temperature for 5 days before Δ13C and Δ14C sampling to ensure the majority of labile C from small roots and root exudates decomposed and would not affect the Rn isotope ratio. As in previous studies (e.g. Schuur & Trumbore, 2006), we assumed that the CO2 respired from soils after 5 days was dominated by the heterotrophic flux and therefore included fast-cycling rhizosphere C in the autotrophic flux. Soils were incubated at field moisture under aerobic conditions. Deep soils sat at room temperature for 10 days to allow microbial populations to stabilize after thaw. During the 2 days preceding isotopic sample collection, three short-term (3 h) incubations were performed to measure rates of Rn flux. Samples were run on an IRGA (LI-820) connected to an injection loop to measure pCO2. The Δ13C and Δ14C sample collection was performed as for Rn except that incubation times were based on the time it took for 1.5 mg C to accumulate in the headspace, which ranged from 12 to 72 h.
Heterotrophic respiration was split into two sources, YS (0–15 cm) and OS (15–80 cm), based on soil $\Delta^{13}$C values; YS included the top of the soil profile that contained post-'bomb peak' $\Delta^{14}$C (Hicks Pries et al., 2012). To calculate $\delta^{13}$C and $\Delta^{14}$C of YS and OS, we weighted the isotopic signatures of each incubated depth by its CO$_2$ flux per g C, corrected for each section’s average bulk density, %carbon, and average monthly field temperature using the $Q_{10}$ (Table S1; Schuur & Trumbore, 2006). We calculated this weighted average for each replicate core separately and then averaged all cores to obtain monthly mean $\delta^{13}$C and $\Delta^{14}$C for YS and OS. Before averaging, $\delta^{13}$C values were corrected for the incubation temperature shift as described in Truccone et al. (2009). This correction was needed because $\delta^{13}$C is depleted by 0.12–0.35‰/°C for each 1 °C temperature rise (Andrews et al., 2000; Biasi et al., 2005) and our soils were incubated at temperatures warmer than field conditions. The same $\Delta^{14}$C values from the 2009 cores were used to calculate 2008 $R_a$ end members. The effect of radioactive decay ($\sim10^5$ yr$^{-1}$) and the addition of new OC with slightly depleted values (due to the Suess effect and biosphere mixing) on $R_a$, $\Delta^{14}$C are likely below the 2–3‰ precision error of the AMS (Schuur et al., 2009).

A subset of 12 soil samples from various soil cores and depths were used to calculate $Q_{10}$ and the $\delta^{13}$C temperature correction. We removed roots, homogenized, and split each sample into two jars—one was incubated for 10 days at 2.5 °C and the other at 12.5 °C. The average CO$_2$ flux from three short-term incubations was used to calculate each sample’s $Q_{10}$. For $\delta^{13}$C, 12 mL samples from the headspace were injected into vacuumed exchangers after a 6 h incubation preceded by scrubbing CO$_2$ from the headspace. The average $Q_{10}$ of these soils was 2.5 and the average $\delta^{13}$C shift was $-0.157\%$ per 1 °C. To calculate the adjusted $\delta^{13}$C, we used the following equation:

$$^{13}C_{adj} = ^{13}C_{inc} + 0.157 \times (T_{inc} - T_{field})$$

where the $^{13}C_{inc}$ is the $\delta^{13}$C from incubating a soil depth section, $T_{inc}$ is the temperature of the incubation, and $T_{field}$ is the in situ field temperature of that soil depth from soil temperature sensors (Table S1; see Truccone et al., 2012 for sensor details).

### Data analysis and partitioning model

Ecosystem respiration was partitioned into AG, BG, YS, and OS using SIAR (stable isotope analysis in R; Parnell et al., 2010). For partitioning, the 12 $R_{eco}$ collars were stratified into three categories based AL depth because SIAR gives more robust estimates when fitting parameters to a group of $R_{eco}$ values than to a single $R_{eco}$ value (Inger et al., 2010). Six collars were classified as shallow AL (46–55 cm), three as intermediate AL (62–69 cm), and three as deep AL (80–103 cm). Active layer depth is a good indicator of permafrost thaw extent; ALs are deeper where the soil surface has subsided due to ground ice thaw (Osterkamp et al., 2009). Partitioning was performed separately for each AL category and each month sampled. The SIAR method uses Markov chain Monte Carlo to find possible solutions to this set of three equations:

$^{13}C_{Ecosystem} = f_{AG} \times (^{13}C_{AG}) + f_{BG} \times (^{13}C_{BG}) + f_{YS} \times (^{13}C_{YS}) + f_{OS} \times (^{13}C_{OS})$

$^{14}C_{Ecosystem} = f_{AG} \times (^{14}C_{AG}) + f_{BG} \times (^{14}C_{BG}) + f_{YS} \times (^{14}C_{YS}) + f_{OS} \times (^{14}C_{OS})$

$$I = f_{AG} + f_{BG} + f_{YS} + f_{OS}$$

where the unknowns are $f$, each source’s proportional contribution to $R_{eco}$, and the $\delta^{13}$C and $\Delta^{14}$C of each source and $R_{eco}$ have known distributions. The input data for this model include the mean and standard deviation of all source isotopic values (Tables 2 and 3) and the individual isotopic values of $R_{eco}$ collars measured for each AL category (Table S2). For July 2008 partitioning, $R_a$ $\delta^{13}$C was an average of June and August 2008 values because July $R_a$ $\delta^{13}$C was not sampled. The results of the model are probability density distributions of each source’s $f$. While SIAR uses a Bayesian framework, we used uninformative priors because previous partitioning results are limited.

For statistical analyses of source isotopes, we used one- and two-way analyses of variance (ANOVA’s) in JMP (SAS, Cary, NC, USA) with source type ($R_a$ only) and month as main effects, and core as a random effect (YS and OS only). For $R_{eco}$ isotopes and thaw depth, repeated measures ANOVA’s were used with AL category and month as main effects and collar as a random effect. Analyses were done for 2008 and 2009 separately. To analyze how isotopes varied in the soil profile, we used a one-way ANOVA with depth as the main effect and soil core as a random effect for deep soil cores and a two-way ANOVA with month as an additional main effect for surface soil cores. One-way ANOVA’s were used to compare source contributions among months or AL categories. We investigated the relationships between mean thaw depth of the AL categories and the mean source contributions ($f$) using linear regressions with category as a random effect in R (R Development Core Team, 2012). Source contributions were logit transformed before analyses. All residuals were checked for normality and homogeneity of variances to ensure the assumptions of ANOVA and regressions were met.

### Respiration fluxes

Ecosystem respiration fluxes were sampled with static and auto chambers throughout the 2008 and 2009 growing seasons from plots adjacent to the isotopic sampling collars. The respiration measurements were part of a C balance study and are described in Vogel et al. (2009) and Truccone et al. (2012). We used the same data as presented in Truccone et al. (2012), except that we averaged the respiration plots into the three AL depth categories instead of by site, to pair with our partitioning estimates (Table S3). To estimate growing season respiration from each source, we combined 2008 and 2009 source estimates so we had the sources’ proportional contributions for each month of the growing season (May through September; we averaged 2008 and 2009 July values) and multiplied the proportions by their corresponding mean flux (for each month and AL category). We then summed the flux of each source across all
growing season months by AL category. This first approximation of a growing season flux includes some uncertainties because our isotopic sampling reflected only the big changes over the growing season. However, sampling respiration at low frequencies has been shown to accurately capture seasonal variation (Savage & Davidson 2003). Logistical constraints prevented frequent isotopic sampling, so we did not focus on shorter timescales.

Results

Ecosystem respiration

Ecosystem respiration collars were stratified into three categories based on their AL depth. Among these AL categories, thaw depths differed significantly throughout the growing season in 2008 and 2009 (Table 1; repeated measures ANOVAs, $P < 0.005$). Thaw depths significantly increased throughout each growing season (Table 1; repeated measures ANOVAs, $P < 0.0001$) and differences among categories became larger throughout the growing season in both years (category x month interaction, $P < 0.028$).

In both 2008 and 2009, $R_{eco}$ $\delta^{13}C$ did not differ among AL categories (Table 1; repeated measures ANOVA, $P > 0.60$), but did differ among months ($P < 0.056$). There were significant AL category differences in $R_{eco}$ $\Delta^{14}C$. Shallow AL $R_{eco}$ was significantly more enriched in $\Delta^{14}C$ than deep AL $R_{eco}$ in 2009 (Fig. 1, repeated measures ANOVA, $P = 0.035$), but the effect was marginally significant in 2008 ($P = 0.082$). Early growing season $R_{eco}$ was generally more enriched in $\Delta^{14}C$ than mid and late season $R_{eco}$, a difference which was significant in 2008 (Fig. 1, repeated measures ANOVA, $P = 0.0007$) and marginally significant in 2009 ($P = 0.064$). Across all categories and years, there is a negative linear relationship between thaw depth and $R_{eco} \Delta^{14}C$ ($R^2 = 0.37$, $P < 0.0001$), but no relationship between thaw depth and $R_{eco} \delta^{13}C$ ($R^2 = 0.01$, $P = 0.44$).

Table 1 Mean (±SE) thaw depth and $\delta^{13}C$ of ecosystem respiration within the active layer categories throughout the growing season in 2008 and 2009. Significance was tested separately for each year with two-way ANOVA’s ($\alpha = 0.05$). Categories that do not share a capital letter, months that do not share a lowercase letter, and individual means that do not share a number are significantly different. $\delta^{13}C$ was significantly different among months, but not among active layer categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AL Category</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>12.0±1.8</td>
<td>24.4±1.2</td>
<td>36.1±1.2</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>22.8±1.4</td>
<td>22.0±1.6</td>
<td>23.1±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>29.2±1.2</td>
<td>29.2±1.2</td>
<td>46.0±3.9</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>22.8±1.4</td>
<td>22.0±1.6</td>
<td>23.1±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep</td>
<td>45.3±2.4</td>
<td>45.3±2.4</td>
<td>68.0±6.0</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>22.8±1.4</td>
<td>22.0±1.6</td>
<td>23.1±1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source respiration

Aboveground $R_{a}$ was generally 2% more enriched in $\delta^{13}C$ than BG $R_{a}$ (Table 2; two-way ANOVAs, $P < 0.0001$). Early growing season $R_{a}$ $\delta^{13}C$ was more enriched than later growing season $R_{a}$ in both 2008 and 2009 (Table 2; two-way ANOVAs, $P < 0.0001$). In 2009, AG $R_{a}$ was 2–3% more enriched in $\delta^{13}C$ in July than in May or September (Table 2; two-way ANOVA, type x month interaction, $P = 0.043$). Autotrophic respiration $\Delta^{14}C$ ranged from 44.2 to 49.7‰ but there were no significant differences among AG and BG structures or month sampled (Table 2; two-way ANOVAs, $P > 0.4$).

Heterotrophic respiration $\delta^{13}C$ became more enriched with depth, $\Delta^{14}C$ became more depleted with soil depth, and variability of both $\delta^{13}C$ and $\Delta^{14}C$ increased with depth (Fig. 2). Statistical analyses of $R_{h}$ were split into surface cores (0–25 cm) and deep cores (25–44 cm) due to different sampling frequencies (see methods). Both $\delta^{13}C$ and $\Delta^{14}C$ differed significantly with depth in surface (Fig. 2; two-way ANOVA, $P < 0.021$) and deep cores (Fig. 2; one-way ANOVA, $P < 0.0073$). Correcting $R_{h} \delta^{13}C$ from each depth section for in situ soil temperatures caused the top 15 cm of soil to be more $\delta^{13}C$ depleted in July than in other months due to warmer soil temperatures (Fig. 2b). On average, the $R_{h} \delta^{13}C$ temperature correction caused 2% and 3.5% $\delta^{13}C$ enrichments relative to measured values of YS and OS, respectively.

Heterotrophic respiration sources, YS and OS (calculated from averaged core sections; see methods), differed greatly in their $\Delta^{14}C$ and $\delta^{13}C$ (Table 3). Young soil was more depleted in $\delta^{13}C$ (~25.7 to ~24.4‰) but more enriched in $\Delta^{14}C$ (76.5‰) than OS, which had $\delta^{13}C$ around ~22.8‰ and $\Delta^{14}C$ around ~30.0‰. Young soil $\delta^{13}C$ differed by month in 2009 but not 2008 (Table 3; one-way ANOVAs, $P > 0.0001$ and $P = 0.41$, respectively). Young soil $\Delta^{14}C$ did not differ by month in either 2008 or 2009 (Table 3; one-way ANOVAs, $P > 0.99$). The $\Delta^{14}C$ and $\delta^{13}C$
of the other RH source, OS, did not differ by month in either year (Table 3; one-way ANOVAs, P > 0.69).

**Partitioning ecosystem respiration**

The greatest contributions to $R_{\text{eco}}$ came from AG $R_a$, whose contributions ranged from 16 to 48% in 2008 and 26 to 43% in 2009 (Fig. 3). Belowground $R_s$ contributions ranged from 17 to 34% in 2008 and 15 to 32% in 2009 (Fig. 3). Results reported in this section are the means of the posterior probability density distributions for each source’s proportional contribution to $R_{\text{eco}}$. Combining mean contributions across years and AL categories, AG $R_s$ had the greatest contributions at the height of the growing season, July and August, whereas BG $R_s$ did not significantly differ throughout the growing season (Fig. 4; two-way ANOVA, month x type interaction, $P < 0.0001$). We did not use July 2008 partitioning results in this analysis because of the uncertainty associated with estimating the July 2008 AG and BG source isotopes. The greatest proportional contributions of AG $R_s$ at the height of the growing season corresponded to the lowest proportional contributions of BG $R_s$ while AG and BG $R_s$ were similar during months of seasonal transition, May and September. Combining all AL categories, months, and years, mean total $R_s$ (AG plus BG) contributions to $R_{\text{eco}}$ ranged from 40 to 70% and increased with increasing thaw depth (Fig. 5a; regression, $n = 18$, $R^2 = 0.25$, $P = 0.039$). One-way ANOVA’s were performed to tease apart the effects of AL category and month, as both contribute to increasing thaw depths. Autotrophic respiration did not differ significantly among AL categories (one-way ANOVA, $P = 0.37$), but did vary significantly among months (one-way ANOVA, $P = 0.0047$) with the greatest contributions occurring in July and August, implying the relationship between $R_s$ and thaw depth was driven by seasonal differences.

For heterotrophic contributions to $R_{\text{eco}}$, YS had greater contributions than OS (Fig. 3). Young soil contributions ranged from 20 to 53% in 2008 and 20 to 41% in 2009. Young soil generally contributed more to $R_{\text{eco}}$ where the AL was shallow. Old soil contributions ranged from 6 to 17% in 2008 and 8 to 18% in 2009. The greatest contributions from OS occurred in areas with intermediate and deep AL’s. Combining all AL categories, months, and years (except May 2009 outliers), OS contributions increased with increasing thaw depth (Fig. 5b; regression, $n = 16$, $R^2 = 0.52$, $P = 0.025$). Old soil respiration did not differ significantly among months (one-way ANOVA, $P = 0.43$), but did differ significantly among AL categories (one-way ANOVA, $P = 0.0022$) with shallow AL having the smallest OS contributions. These results imply that the relationship between OS and thaw depth was driven by AL category and not seasonality. The relative contributions of autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration to $R_{\text{eco}}$ can be compared using a ratio. Combining all AL categories, months, and years, $R_a$ increased with increasing thaw depth (Fig 5c; regression, $n = 18$, $R^2 = 0.23$, $P = 0.047$).

We performed sensitivity analyses to test how model estimates responded to uncertainty in source isotopic

**Fig. 1** Mean $R_{\text{eco}}$ $\Delta^{14}$C for all active layer (AL) categories in all months sampled (error bars = SE). In both years, early growing season $R_{\text{eco}}$, was more enriched in $\Delta^{14}$C than later growing season $R_{\text{eco}}$ (indicated by asterisks, $x = 0.06$). Letters not shared indicate significant differences among AL categories, which were only marginally different in 2008 ($x = 0.08$). The dashed line is the $\Delta^{14}$C of the atmosphere in each year.

**Table 2** Mean ($\pm$SE) $\delta^{13}$C and $\Delta^{14}$C of aboveground and belowground plant respiration during the 2008 and 2009 growing season. Significance was tested separately for each year with two-way ANOVA’s. Asterisks represent a significant difference between aboveground and belowground $R_s$ $\delta^{13}$C only ($x = 0.05$). Months that do not share a lowercase letter and individual means that do not share a number are significantly different in $\delta^{13}$C. There were no $\Delta^{14}$C significant differences. NS stands for not sampled.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Aboveground</th>
<th>Belowground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>June*</td>
<td>$-20.5 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$-23.1 \pm 0.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>July</td>
<td>$44.2 \pm 1.7$</td>
<td>$48.5 \pm 1.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>August</td>
<td>$-22.7 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$-25.8 \pm 0.3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>May*</td>
<td>$-22.2 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$-24.4 \pm 0.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>July</td>
<td>$-20.3 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>$-24.5 \pm 0.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>September</td>
<td>$-23.2 \pm 0.5$</td>
<td>$-25.8 \pm 0.4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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values (Table S4). Changing OS $\Delta^{14}$C by one standard deviation in either direction shifted OS’s mean contributions up to five percentage points and all other source contributions less than three percentage points. Using uncorrected $\delta^{13}$C soil values (see methods) resulted in 3–10 percentage point changes in contributions. Shifting the soil $\delta^{13}$C by $\delta_{iso}$ resulted in zero to six percentage point changes. Relationships between source contributions and month or thaw depth only changed slightly as a result of these changes and maintained their significance. Our results are therefore qualitatively robust to source isotope uncertainty.

Discussion

Both autotrophic and old soil heterotrophic respiration increased with permafrost thaw. We were able to measure the crucial loss of old soil C because we used a dual isotope approach and explicitly measured the isotopic value of all sources. This method allowed us to more accurately partition $R_{eco}$ into more sources than past studies. We even detected seasonal differences in aboveground and belowground $R_{a}$ contributions to $R_{eco}$. Taken together, the thaw-induced increases in $R_{a}$ and old soil $R_{b}$ can have different C balance outcomes depending on the response of primary production. If the magnitude of C input (net primary productivity)

Table 3 Mean (±SE) $\delta^{13}$C and $\Delta^{14}$C of young and old soil respiration. Significance was tested separately for each year and each source with one-way ANOVA’s. The only significant difference was for young soil $\delta^{13}$C in 2009 ($\alpha = 0.05$, indicated with letters not shared).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C ($\delta_{iso}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta^{14}$C ($\delta_{iso}$)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C ($\delta_{iso}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta^{14}$C ($\delta_{iso}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td></td>
<td>Young Soil</td>
<td>$-24.7 \pm 0.2$</td>
<td>$76.4 \pm 4.2$</td>
<td>$-24.7 \pm 0.2$</td>
<td>$76.4 \pm 4.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Old Soil</td>
<td>$-22.7 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$-30.5 \pm 38$</td>
<td>$-22.8 \pm 0.2$</td>
<td>$-26.8 \pm 35$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>Young Soil</td>
<td>$-24.6 \pm 0.2^{ab}$</td>
<td>$76.4 \pm 4.0$</td>
<td>$-25.7 \pm 0.2^{a}$</td>
<td>$76.5 \pm 4.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Old Soil</td>
<td>$-22.6 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$-30.7 \pm 37$</td>
<td>$-22.9 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$-26.3 \pm 34$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
response to permafrost thaw is not greater than the C output (respiration) response, thaw will have caused the system to become a C source.

Variability of autotrophic source isotopes

Our first objective was to sample source isotopes temporally and spatially, which will elucidate how to best sample source \( \delta^{13}C \) and \( \Delta^{14}C \) in future partitioning studies. Previous studies have made untested assumptions, such as assuming \( R_a \) has the same \( \Delta^{14}C \) as the atmosphere (e.g. Subke et al., 2011) or assuming \( R_h \) has the same isotopic values as solid organic C (e.g. Dutta et al., 2006). Isotopic variation in \( R_a \) was driven by plant structure and temporal changes in soil moisture. Respired \( \delta^{13}C \) from BG plant structures was consistently 2\% depleted compared with respiration from AG plant structures as observed in previous studies (e.g. Badeck et al., 2005; Klumpp et al., 2005). Belowground respiration is likely depleted relative to AG respiration because root metabolism is fueled by depleted substrates, like lipids, whereas leaf metabolism is fueled by enriched substrates, like sugars (Bowling et al., 2008). In contrast, AG and BG respiration \( \Delta^{14}C \) did not differ indicating that they were respiring substrates of similar ages. In 2009, both AG and BG respiration were about 4\% more enriched in \( \Delta^{14}C \) relative to the atmosphere (\( \approx \)1 year older), indicating plants were using a mixture of stored carbohydrates and recently fixed photosynthates for respiration (Czimczik et al., 2006; Schuur & Trumbore, 2006). As \( R_a \) \( \Delta^{14}C \) enrichment has been previously seen in other perennial plants (Czimczik et al., 2006; Schuur & Trumbore, 2006), only annual plants should be assumed to respire CO\(_2\) with the same \( \Delta^{14}C \) as the atmosphere.

Autotrophic respiration \( \delta^{13}C \) varied temporally across the growing season in both years, but \( R_a \) \( \Delta^{14}C \)
Temporal variation in $\delta^{13}C$ was likely due to plant/water relations wherein C3 plants become more $\delta^{13}C$ enriched under dry conditions due to limitations on stomatal conductance (McDowell et al., 2004; Bowling et al., 2008). In July 2009, when the study site experienced below-average rainfall, the $\delta^{13}C_{AG}$ was 2–3‰ enriched compared with the relatively wetter months of May and September. In August 2008, after a wet July, both AG and BG $\delta^{13}C_{AG}$ was 2‰ depleted compared with June, when rainfall was normal. Unlike $\delta^{13}C$, $\Delta^{14}C_{AG}$ did not vary temporally, indicating atmospheric $^{14}C$ was well mixed and that plants used a similar amount of stored C during the growing season, reinforcing results in forests (Cisneros-Dozal et al., 2006; Czimczik et al., 2006). Due to temporal variability, when partitioning $\Delta^{14}C_{AG}$ should be measured at the same time as $R_{eco}$ $\Delta^{13}C$ and potential differences in isotopic values before and after precipitation events should be considered. In contrast, sampling $R_a$ $\Delta^{14}C$ once a growing season is sufficient.

### Variability of heterotrophic source isotopes

Carbon isotopes of $R_h$ mainly varied with depth in the soil profile. In general, $\Delta^{14}C$ became depleted with depth, so the deeper the soil, the older the organic C respired. The exception to the depletion trend was in the top 15 cm of soil where $\Delta^{14}C$ became more enriched than the atmospheric due to respiration of ‘bomb’ peak C as previously measured in black spruce forests (Schuur & Trumbore, 2006). While $R_h$ $\Delta^{14}C$ became depleted with depth, $R_h$ $\delta^{13}C$ became enriched up to 6‰ as seen in numerous other studies (Ehleringer et al., 2000; Högb erg et al., 2005; Bostrom et al., 2007). This enrichment may be due, in part, to the Suess effect in which fossil fuel burning has caused the atmosphere to become depleted in $\delta^{13}C$. This effect causes surface soils (formed in the past 150 years) to be about 1.5‰ more depleted than deeper soils (Ehleringer et al., 2000; Högb erg et al., 2005). The rest of the 6‰ enrichment may be explained by increasing proportions of microbial-derived enriched C with depth relative to depleted plant-derived C (Ehleringer et al., 2000; Högb erg et al., 2005; Bostrom et al., 2007). Both $\delta^{13}C$ and $\Delta^{14}C$ of $R_h$ were more variable in deep soils than in surface soils. Respired $CO_2$ from the top 5 cm was all less than 20 years old whereas respired $CO_2$ from soil 80 cm deep ranged from 1500 to 7000 years old. This variability could be a result of cryoturbation (i.e. soil mixing caused by freeze/thaw cycles; Hicks Pries et al., 2012) or variable C accumulation rates. Respired $CO_2$ from most depth sections was considerably younger and more $\delta^{13}C$ enriched than bulk organic C (Hicks Pries et al., 2012) indicating isotopic values of bulk soil organic C should not be used to partition $R_{eco}$. Overall, heterotrophic respiration $\delta^{13}C$ and $\Delta^{14}C$ did vary spatially, but did not show consistent temporal variation, supporting previous results from a pine forest (Carbone et al., 2011).

### Partitioning ecosystem respiration

Ecosystem respiration $\Delta^{14}C$ became more depleted throughout the growing season and in areas with deeper active layers. Ecosystem respiration $\Delta^{14}C$ was also more enriched than the atmosphere and more enriched than $R_a$ across all categories and times with few exceptions,
whose $\Delta^{14}C$ were equal to the atmosphere. The depletion in $R_{\text{eco}} \Delta^{14}C$ could therefore be due to: (1) increasing contributions of $R_a$, which had $\Delta^{14}C$ only slightly more enriched than the atmosphere, (2) increasing contributions of OS respiration, which had negative $\Delta^{14}C$ (e.g. Schuur et al., 2009), (3) decreasing contributions of YS respiration, which had enriched $\Delta^{14}C$ (e.g. Borken et al., 2006), or (4) a combination of the above. Areas with deeper active layers at our study site also have been shown to have greater $R_{\text{eco}}$ C losses (Vogel et al., 2009). Only partitioning can decipher the mechanism behind the depleting $R_{\text{eco}} \Delta^{14}C$ and increasing $R_{\text{eco}}$ losses.

Partitioning revealed that the seasonal and thaw-induced decreases in $R_{\text{eco}} \Delta^{14}C$ were driven by increases in both $R_a$ and heterotrophic respiration of old soil C. Autotrophic contributions to $R_{\text{eco}}$ increased throughout the growing season peaking in August, likely a result of increased plant biomass, increased net primary production, and warmer soils. We were able to detect seasonal changes in $R_a$ by sampling several times throughout the growing season. Our results differed from previous studies, which found $R_a$ contributions peaked at the start of the growing season (Chiti et al., 2011) or did not change during the growing season (Nowinski et al., 2010). Outside the growing season, $R_a$ contributions have predictably decreased when plants are dormant (Ruehr & Buchmann, 2010; Subke et al., 2011).

Across all AL depths and times, $R_a$ contributions ranged from 40 to 70% of $R_{\text{eco}}$. Similar wide ranges of $R_a$ contributions, 41–54% in a peatland (Hardie et al., 2009) and 40–80% in tundra (Nowinski et al., 2010), were found in previous $R_{\text{eco}}$ partitioning studies. In terms of total growing season respiration flux, autotrophs respired 58% and 28% more C in areas with deep permafrost thaw than where thaw was shallow in 2008 and 2009, respectively. Similarly, permafrost thaw induced by a 1 °C warming doubled autotrophic contributions to $R_{\text{eco}}$ in a Swedish permafrost peatland (Dorrepaal et al., 2009). In contrast, autotrophic contributions to $R_{\text{eco}}$ decreased with snow fence-induced permafrost thaw in Toolik, AK; although the snow fence shortened the growing season, likely eliciting the negative plant response (Nowinski et al., 2010). Plants have generally responded to warming by increasing primary production (Rustad et al., 2001). At our site, plant biomass is 35% greater where permafrost thaw is extensive relative to where thaw is minimal (Schuur et al., 2007). Nearby in Healy, AK, warming soil by 2.3 °C caused a 20% increase in aboveground productivity (Natali et al., 2012). Ecosystem respiration is positively correlated with aboveground net primary productivity at our study site (Vogel et al., 2009). The maintenance of higher productivity where active layers are deepest may therefore necessitate higher rates of $R_a$.

Autotrophic respiration is made up of respiration from AG and BG plant structures, and respiration from AG plant structures drove the $R_a$ increases discussed above. Aboveground $R_a$ increased as the unfrozen layer deepened throughout the growing season and among AL categories, but BG $R_a$ did not vary temporally as in a previous study (Cisneros-Dozal et al., 2006). Relative contributions of AG and BG $R_a$ shifted seasonally indicating changes in plant C allocation. At the shoulders of the growing season, respiration contributions from AG and BG plant structures were similar, whereas at the height of the growing season (July and August), AG $R_a$ contributions were roughly twice that of BG. In absolute magnitude, however, BG $R_a$ remained steady indicating root and rhizome respiration does not increase even when plants reallocate C from and to stored reserves at the beginning and end of the growing season. The difference between AG and BG $R_a$ contributions in July and August was therefore driven by an increase in AG $R_{wo}$ which temporally corresponds with

---

**Fig. 6** Estimates of growing season fluxes from each respiration source (aboveground plant structures (AG), belowground plant structures (BG), young soil (YS), and old soil (OS)) for active layer (AL) categories in 2008 and 2009. The error bars are the spatial error of the respiration fluxes. To obtain the growing season flux, the mean estimates of source proportional contributions from SIAR for each month and AL category were multiplied by the fluxes for each month and AL category in each year. The results were then summed over May, June, July, August, and September.

the greatest rates of primary production at and near our study site (Vogel et al., 2009; Natali et al., 2011).

The observed depletion in $R_{\text{eco}}$ $\delta^{14}C$ with deepening thaw (seasonally and across AL categories) was not only caused by increased $R_a$ but also by increased OS $R_{\text{os}}$, which has a depleted $\delta^{14}C$ value around $-30\%o$ (a mean calendar age of $-250$ years, but likely a mix of younger and much older C). With deepening thaw, more soil C is available to decomposition. In September, there is 21.1 kg more C m$^{-2}$ available to above-freezing respiration where thaw is deep than where thaw is shallow, a 90% increase in thawed soil C (soil data from Hicks Pries et al., 2012). However, thawed soil below 50 cm is still very cold with temperatures less than 1 °C and is often saturated. Thaw may therefore increase OS $R_{\text{os}}$ in other ways, by causing soils to be warmer farther up in the soil profile or via priming as plant productivity increases and roots grow deeper. Our estimates for the contributions of OS to $R_{\text{eco}}$ across the study site (6–18%) fall within the ranges of previous peatland studies (Dorrepaal et al., 2009; Hardie et al., 2009; Schuur et al., 2009). The increase in OS respiration is particularly of note as this soil C has been stored away from the atmosphere for hundreds of years, making its release a potentially huge positive feedback to climate change (Schuur & Abbott, 2011): as permafrost thaws, more old C is respired, which increases atmospheric CO$_2$ causing more warming, which in turn thaws additional permafrost. This potential for a positive feedback is illustrated by the 67–103% increase in growing season old C flux where the permafrost thaw is deep relative to where thaw is shallow, similar to the 78% increase measured previously at our study site (Schuur et al., 2009). The increase in OS flux with deepening thaw was 25–50% less than the $R_a$ growing season increase (21–30 g C m$^{-2}$ vs. 40–81 g C m$^{-2}$), demonstrating OS losses can be obscured by changes in plant respiration, except when revealed by isotopes.

Although OS $R_{\text{os}}$ generally increased as thaw deepened throughout the growing season, there were two exceptions. In May 2009, the highest OS contributions reported in this study occurred in places with deep active layers. These high contributions early in the growing season are indicative of a burst of CO$_2$ from deeper in the soil profile during thaw (Lee et al., 2010). Due to the downward movement of soil freeze in the autumn, microbial respiration continues to occur at above-freezing temperatures while the distance between the frozen surface soil and the permafrost closes. Decomposition can also occur within unfrozen soil micro sites during winter. When the surface soil thaws in the spring, some of the old C decomposed during the autumn and winter is released.

### Challenges of the isotopic partitioning approach

The isotope partitioning method assumes isolated incubations of soil, roots, and aboveground plant structures minimally affect the $\delta^{13}C$ or $\Delta^{14}C$ of respiration. For $\Delta^{14}C$, the assumption is supported by several studies (Dionumaeva et al., 2002; Czimczik & Trumbore, 2007), but the assumption does not hold for $\delta^{13}C$. Plant respiration $\delta^{13}C$ can change ($\sim 1\%o$) in the hours after plants are excised, but the effect is small when measurements are made directly after excision (Midwood et al., 2006) as we did in this study. Soil respiration $\delta^{13}C$ from incubations have also been shown to change over times from a few hours (Millard et al., 2008) to months (Blagodatskaya et al., 2011) to years (Follett et al., 2007), resulting in uncertainty about YS and OS $\delta^{13}C$. One mechanism for the hourly $\delta^{13}C$ shift is the respiration and loss of labile root exudates from the soil. For example, the 0.8–2.2%o change in soil respiration from Millard et al. (2008) was a shift away from the depleted root respiration value. In this study, we waited for 5 and 10 days before measuring the respired $\delta^{13}C$ from surface and deep soil cores, respectively. The 5 day wait was based on a field study wherein soil respiration decreased by 50% in the 5 days after trees were girdled (Högberg et al., 2001). By waiting, we excluded root exudates from our YS source and included them in the BG source. Root exudates turnover quickly and are tied to the autotrophic response, so including them in the BG source is appropriate for this study, which was principally designed to measure how OS respiration responded to permafrost thaw. During incubations, soil respiration $\delta^{13}C$ also shifts due to changes in microbial substrate preference from labile to more recalcitrant C as the labile C pool is exhausted. Our incubation length was specifically planned to measure $\delta^{13}C$ while the labile C pool was respired. Incubation studies demonstrate that the labile C pool takes at least 5–20 days to be exhausted in tundra soils, the longer time applying to deeper soils (Lee et al., 2012; Lavoie et al., 2011). Lastly, soil oxygen gradients in incubations may differ from in situ conditions, which may also affect isotopic values.

We tested the sensitivity of our results to a $\%e$ shift in soil $\delta^{13}C$ based off the change measured during soil incubations in Follett et al. (2007). A $\%e$ enrichment caused the AG proportion to increase and the YS and OS proportions to decrease, and vice versa for a $\%e$ depletion. The average absolute change in all sources was only 2.7% of $R_{\text{eco}}$. All AL categories responded similarly, so a shift in soil $\delta^{13}C$ would not change the relationships between thaw depth and source contributions. Our results are robust to soil respiration uncertainty. However, mechanisms behind the $\delta^{13}C$ shift
Implications for net ecosystem carbon balance
Flux measurements indicate that areas with deeper permafrost thaw at our study site had the greatest gross primary production and were likely a net C sink in 2008 and 2009 (Trucco et al., 2012). Therefore, the increased growing season losses from $R_p$ and old soil $R_b$ with increasing permafrost thaw are currently more than compensated for by increased net primary production. However, the loss of old soil C is concerning in the long term because sustained losses of old soil C are likely given the climate change trajectory. Because the size of the soil C pool (55–70 kg m$^{-2}$; Hicks Pries et al., 2012) is much larger than the tundra plant C pool (0.35 kg m$^{-2}$; Shaver & Chapin, 1991), future losses of old soil C will likely outpace increases in plant production, barring a major shift in plant community from tundra to boreal forest (e.g. Callaghan et al., 2004). Even then, a mature boreal spruce forest stores about 6 kg C m$^{-2}$ in plant biomass (Gower et al., 2001; Goulden et al., 2011), only enough to compensate for a 10% loss of soil C. The questions that remain are what proportion of permafrost soil C is vulnerable to permafrost thaw and to what extent primary production increases can compensate soil C losses.
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